

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,283	06/30/2003	David J. Burton	J103UB02US00	5802
21874 7590 65720/2008 EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP P.O. BOX 55874			EXAMINER	
			VETTER, DANIEL	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3628	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/612,283		BURTON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DANIEL P. VETTER	3628	
	DIVINCE I . YETTEN	OOLO	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 25 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

- 1. \(\text{\texitext{\texi\texit{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi
 - a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailting date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(d).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

W The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>25 April 2008</u>. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the
date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof 37 CFR 41.37(3) a voired is missaid of the appeal.
 Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

<u>AMENDMENTS</u>

- The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

 (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for societies of the properties of the prope
 - appeal; and/or

 (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

- 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of

how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: ___

Claim(s) objected to: _____.
Claim(s) rejected: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: _____.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 OFR 1.116(e).
- 9. In the affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. \(\bigcirc \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \(\bigcirc \) See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: _______

/Igor N. Borissov/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3628 Continuation of 3, NOTE: amendments require new consideration of scope and create new compliance issues under section 112.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are unpersuasive. Exaimer respectfully maintains that the claimed invention is an obvious combination of Keong and Bruns, by substituting the determination of a number of packages in Bruns for the determination of the size of packages in Keong. Since each individual element and its function are shown in the prior art, albeit shown in separate references, the difference between the claimed subject matter and the prior art rests not on any individual element or function but in the very combination isself—that is, in the substitution of number determination (Bruns) for size determination (Keong). Both of these determinations serve the same purpose (fulfilling customer orders of multiple items), and one skilled in the ant would have recognized that this substitution could be implemented through routine engineering producing predictable results.